



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,217	04/01/2004	Nicholas A. J. Millington	PA3445US	7302
22830	7590	04/13/2009		
CARR & FERRELL LLP			EXAMINER	
2200 GENG ROAD			NICKERSON, JEFFREY L	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2442				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/13/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/816,217

Applicant(s)

MILLINGTON, NICHOLAS A. J.

Examiner

JEFFREY NICKERSON

Art Unit

2442

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY NICKERSON.

(3) _____.

(2) Ian Schick (63293).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 577.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With reference to paragraph [0196] applicant discussed adjusting the "tightly coupled synchrony" language in the claims to language regarding perception to the user, in an effort to overcome the outstanding 112 rejections. Examiner confirmed this would overcome the 112 first rejection, and, depending on the wording of the claim language and arguments presented, may overcome the 112 second rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Caldwell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442